

Spin(9) Average of SU(N) Matrix Models

I. Hamiltonian

Jens Hoppe^{a *}, Douglas Lundholm^{a †}
and Maciej Trzetrzelewski^{a,b ‡}

Abstract

We apply a method of group averaging to states and operators appearing in (truncations of) the $\text{Spin}(9) \times \text{SU}(N)$ invariant matrix models. We find that there is an exact correspondence between the standard supersymmetric Hamiltonian and the $\text{Spin}(9)$ average of a relatively simple lower-dimensional model.

^a Department of Mathematics, Royal Institute of Technology,
KTH, 100 44 Stockholm, Sweden

^b Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University,
Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

*e-mail: hoppe@math.kth.se

†e-mail: dogge@math.kth.se

‡e-mail: 33lewski@th.if.uj.edu.pl

1 Introduction

Due to its relevance to M-theory, reduced Yang-Mills theory, and membrane theory, considerable effort has been put into investigating the structure of $\text{Spin}(9) \times \text{SU}(N)$ invariant supersymmetric matrix models (see e.g. [1] for a review). Despite this, a concrete knowledge of the conjectured zero-energy eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian H is still lacking.

In [2] a certain truncation of the $\text{Spin}(9)$ invariant model was introduced, based on a coordinate split of $\mathbb{R}^9$ into $\mathbb{R}^7 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The corresponding Hamiltonian $H_D = \{Q_D, Q_D^\dagger\}$, which is essentially just a set of supersymmetric harmonic oscillators, can be interpreted as a two-dimensional supersymmetric $\text{SU}(N)$ matrix model with a seven-dimensional space of parameters. Recently, a deformation of the standard matrix model – based on the same coordinate split – was considered that produces a $G_2 \times U(1)$ invariant supersymmetric Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ in which H_D plays a central role [3]. The explicit knowledge of the structure of H_D and its eigenfunctions made it possible to prove in a straightforward manner that $\tilde{H}$ and H have similar spectra.

In this paper we calculate the $\text{Spin}(9)$ average of the truncated Hamiltonian H_D and find that it is essentially equal to the full supersymmetric Hamiltonian H . The correspondence is made exact by a slight modification of H_D .

Motivated by this result, we also expect that the *wavefunctions* obtained by averaging the eigenfunctions of H_D (or slight modifications of those) could be related to the $\text{Spin}(9)$ invariant eigenfunctions of H . Calculating the average of such eigenstates, however, is a technically more difficult problem, to be addressed in a forthcoming paper [4].

2 Group averaging

First, let us define what we mean by group averaging (the notion is well-known in the literature; see e.g. [5] and references therein for a general approach and various applications).

Assume that we are given a unitary representation $U(g)$ of a compact Lie group G acting on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then, given any state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and linear operator A acting on $\mathcal{H}$, we define the corresponding

G -averaged state $[\Psi]_G$ resp. operator $[A]_G$ by

$$[\Psi]_G := \int_{g \in G} U(g) \Psi \, d\mu(g)$$

resp.

$$[A]_G := \int_{g \in G} U(g) A U(g)^{-1} \, d\mu(g),$$

where μ denotes the unique *normalized* left- and right-invariant (Haar) measure on G . Due to the translation invariance of μ , $[\Psi]_G$ will be invariant under the action of $U(g)$, and $[A]_G$ will commute with $U(g)$.

One can also extend the above definition to generalized (non-normalizable) states, e.g. Schwartz distributions $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'$, by taking¹

$$\langle \psi \rangle_G(\phi) := \int_{g \in G} \psi(U(g)\phi) \, d\mu(g)$$

for any test function $\phi \in \mathcal{D} = C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

3 The model and its group actions

We are interested in the supersymmetric matrix model described by the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{9n}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigotimes_{A=1}^n \mathcal{F}^{(A)} = \mathbb{C}^{2^{8n}}$$

and the Hamiltonian

$$H = p_{sA} p_{sA} + \frac{1}{2} (f_{ABC} x_{sB} x_{tC})^2 + \frac{i}{2} x_{sC} f_{ABC} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^s \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} = -\Delta + V + H_F,$$

where we sum over corresponding indices $s, t, \dots = 1, \dots, 9$, $A, B, \dots = 1, \dots, n := N^2 - 1$, $\alpha, \beta, \dots = 1, \dots, 16$. γ^s generate (a matrix representation of) the Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{R}^9$ acting irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^{16}$, while $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A}$ generate the Clifford algebra over $\mathbb{R}^{16} \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}\} = 2\delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{AB}$, acting irreducibly on $\mathcal{F}$. The coordinates x_{sA} , canonically conjugate to $p_{sA} = -i\partial_{sA}$, comprise a set of 9 traceless hermitian matrices $(X_1, \dots, X_9) = \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^9 \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$, and we use the isomorphism $i \cdot \mathfrak{su}(N) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ to map seamlessly between such

¹Note that the action of G on distributions is given by $(U(g)\psi)(\phi) = \psi(U(g^{-1})\phi)$.

a matrix E and its coordinate representation e_A in a basis where the $\text{SU}(N)$ structure constants f_{ABC} are totally antisymmetric.

H is invariant under the action of $\text{SU}(N)$, where the corresponding representation on $\mathcal{H}$ is generated by the anti-hermitian operators

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{J}_A &= iJ_A = if_{ABC} \left(x_{sB} p_{sC} - \frac{i}{4} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha B} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha C} \right) \\ &= \sum_{B < C} f_{ABC} \left(x_{sB} \partial_{sC} - x_{sC} \partial_{sB} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha B} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha C} \right) = \tilde{L}_A + \tilde{M}_A,\end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{L}_A$ and $\tilde{M}_A$ generating the representation of $\mathfrak{su}(N) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{so}(n)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{9n})$ and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively.

Furthermore, H is also invariant under $\text{Spin}(9)$, generated by

$$\tilde{J}_{st} = iJ_{st} = i \left(x_{sA} p_{tA} - x_{tA} p_{sA} - \frac{i}{8} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta A} \right) = \tilde{L}_{st} + \tilde{M}_{st},$$

with

$$\tilde{L}_{st} = \sum_A \tilde{L}_{st}^{(A)} = x_{sA} \partial_{tA} - x_{tA} \partial_{sA}$$

and

$$\tilde{M}_{st} = \sum_A \tilde{M}_{st}^{(A)} = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} \frac{1}{2} [\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{st}]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta A}.$$

Note that the spinor representation of $\text{Spin}(9)$ is generated by $\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{st} := \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^s, \gamma^t]$ acting by left multiplication on the Clifford algebra generated by the γ 's, i.e. left multiplication by the matrix $[\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{st}] \in \mathfrak{so}(16)$ acting on the spinor space $\mathbb{R}^{16}$. This action is in turn represented on the Fock space $\mathcal{F}^{(A)} = \mathbb{C}^{2^8}$ by the spinor representation of $\mathfrak{spin}(16) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathfrak{so}(16)$.

The full, exponentiated, action of $g = e^{\epsilon_{st} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{st}} \in \text{Spin}(9)$ on a state $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. a wavefunction $\Psi : \mathbb{R}^9 \otimes \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, is then given by

$$(U(g)\Psi)(\mathbf{X}) = (e^{\epsilon_{st} \tilde{J}_{st}} \Psi)(\mathbf{X}) = e^{\epsilon_{st} \tilde{M}_{st}} \Psi(e^{\epsilon_{st} \tilde{L}_{st}} \mathbf{X}) = \tilde{R}_g \Psi(R_{g^{-1}}(\mathbf{X})),$$

where $\tilde{R}_g := e^{\epsilon_{st} \frac{1}{8} \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{st} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta A}}$ is the unitary representative of g acting on $\mathcal{F}$, and $R_g(\mathbf{x}) := g \mathbf{x} g^{-1}$ is the corresponding rotation $R_g \in \text{SO}(9)$ acting on vectors $\mathbf{x} = x_t \gamma_t \in \mathbb{R}^9$ considered as grade-1 elements of the Clifford algebra. This follows by considering the infinitesimal action on a function $f : \mathbb{R}^9 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

i.e. $(\tilde{L}_{st}f)(\mathbf{x}) = \text{grad } f \cdot \tilde{L}_{st}(\mathbf{x})$, and (using $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \frac{1}{2}\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}_{st}\mathbf{x} &= (x_s\partial_t - x_t\partial_s)(x_u\gamma_u) = x_s\gamma_t - x_t\gamma_s \\ &= (\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma_s)\gamma_t - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma_t)\gamma_s = -\frac{1}{2}[\gamma^{st}, \mathbf{x}].\end{aligned}$$

Consider now an operator of the form

$$\mathcal{B} = [B(\mathbf{X})]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}$$

where $B(\mathbf{X})$ is a symmetric 16×16 matrix and $A \wedge B := \frac{1}{2}[A, B]$. The infinitesimal action is

$$[\tilde{J}_{st}, \mathcal{B}] = \left[[\tilde{L}_{st}, B(\mathbf{X})] \right]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} + [B(\mathbf{X})]_{\alpha\beta} [\tilde{M}_{st}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}],$$

which, using

$$\begin{aligned}[\tilde{M}_{st}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}] &= \frac{1}{8}\gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}^{st} [\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha' C} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta' C}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{\epsilon\alpha}^{st} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\epsilon A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} - \gamma_{\beta\epsilon}^{st} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\epsilon B}),\end{aligned}$$

exponentiates to

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\epsilon_{st}\tilde{J}_{st}} \mathcal{B} e^{-\epsilon_{st}\tilde{J}_{st}} &= \left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{st}\gamma^{st}} B(e^{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{st}\gamma^{st}} \mathbf{X} e^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{st}\gamma^{st}}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{st}\gamma^{st}} \right]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} \\ &= [gB(R_g^T(\mathbf{X}))g^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \wedge \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Regarding the supersymmetry of H , it is for the following sufficient to know that there is a set of hermitian supercharge operators $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ such that $H = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha^2$ on the subspace of $\text{SU}(N)$ invariant states, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$, which is the physical Hilbert space of the theory.

In order to arrive at a conventional Fock space formulation of the model it is necessary to make certain choices which break the explicit $\text{Spin}(9)$ symmetry. After introducing a split of the coordinates into (x', z) , with $x' = (x_{j=1,\dots,7}) \in \mathbb{R}^{7n}$, $z_A := x_{8A} + ix_{9A}$, and a representation of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A}$ in terms of creation and annihilation operators $\lambda, \lambda^\dagger$, together with a suitable representation of γ^s (see e.g. Appendix A of [3]), it is rather natural to single out a certain part of the supercharges, resulting in a truncation of H to the Hamiltonian [2, 3]

$$H_D = -4\bar{\partial}_z \cdot \partial_z + \bar{z} \cdot S(x')z + 2W(x')\lambda\lambda^\dagger = -\Delta_{89} + V_D + W_D,$$

where each of these terms will be explained in the next section. This operator constitutes a set of $2n$ supersymmetric harmonic oscillators in x_{8A} and x_{9A} whose frequencies are the square root of the eigenvalues of the positive semidefinite matrix operator $S(x') = \sum_{j=1}^7 \text{ad}_{X_j} \circ \text{ad}_{X_j}$. Thus, H_D can be considered as acting on a smaller Hilbert space over the z -coordinates,

$$\hbar = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \otimes \mathcal{F},$$

with x_j entering as parameters, and has with respect to $\hbar$ the complete basis of eigenstates

$$\psi_{k,\sigma}(x', z) = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\det S(x'))^{\frac{1}{4}} H_k(x', z) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} \cdot S(x')^{1/2} z} \xi_{x'}^\sigma. \quad (2)$$

$H_k(x', z)$ denote products of normalized Hermite polynomials in $S(x')^{\frac{1}{4}} x_8$ and $S(x')^{\frac{1}{4}} x_9$, while $\xi_{x'}^\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{8n}$, form the basis of eigenvectors of W_D (see [2, 3] for details).

As pointed out in [3], both H_D and its nondegenerate eigenstates are $\text{SU}(N)$ invariant (covariant) in the sense that they are unchanged under the simultaneous action of $\text{SU}(N)$ on $\hbar$ and the parameters x_j .

4 The averaged Hamiltonian

We would like to apply group averaging w.r.t. $G = \text{Spin}(9)$ to the truncated Hamiltonian H_D and its $\hbar$ -eigenstates (2) (which are generalized states w.r.t. the full Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$).

Note that averaging the supercharge Q_D corresponding to H_D gives zero in the same way that, for the supercharges $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$ corresponding to H and transforming like spinors, $[\mathcal{Q}_\alpha]_G = [g_{\beta\alpha} \mathcal{Q}_\beta]_G = 0$, taking $g = -1$.

4.1 Laplacian part

The principal part of H_D is the Laplace operator on $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\Delta_{89} = \Delta_8 + \Delta_9 = \partial_{8A} \partial_{8A} + \partial_{9A} \partial_{9A}.$$

In order to average this operator, consider first $x_1^2 = (\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma_1)^2$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for which

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1^2]_{\text{Spin}(d)} &= \int_{g \in \text{Spin}(d)} U(g) (\mathbf{x} \cdot \gamma_1)^2 U(g)^{-1} d\mu(g) \\ &= \int (R_g^T(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \gamma_1)^2 d\mu(g) = \frac{1}{d} \sum_{j=1}^d \int (\mathbf{x} \cdot R_{gh_j}(\gamma_1))^2 d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \int \sum_{j=1}^d (\mathbf{x} \cdot R_g(\gamma_j))^2 d\mu(g) = \frac{1}{d} [|\mathbf{x}|^2]_{\text{Spin}(d)} = \frac{1}{d} |\mathbf{x}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the invariance of μ to insert $h_j \in \text{Spin}(d)$ s.t. $R_{h_j}(\gamma_1) = \gamma_j$. Analogously, one finds $[\partial_1^2]_{\text{Spin}(d)} = \frac{1}{d} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. Hence,

$$[\Delta_{89}]_{\text{Spin}(9)} = \frac{2}{9} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{9n}}.$$

4.2 Potential part

Denoting the norm in $i \cdot \mathfrak{su}(N)$ by $\|\cdot\|$, so that for such a matrix $E \leftrightarrow e_A$, $\|E\|^2 = e_A e_A$, we have

$$V_D = \bar{z}_A S(x')_{AA'} z_{A'} = \bar{z}_A f_{ABC} x_{jB} f_{A'B'C} x_{jB'} z_{A'} = \sum_{\substack{a=8,9 \\ j=1,\dots,7}} \|[X_a, X_j]\|^2.$$

Using that any pair (γ_a, γ_j) of orthonormal vectors can be rotated into any other orthonormal pair $(\gamma_s, \gamma_t) = (R_h(\gamma_a), R_h(\gamma_j))$ by some R_h , $h \in \text{Spin}(9)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\|[X_a, X_j]\|^2]_G &= \int_G U(g) \|\mathbf{X} \cdot \gamma_a, \mathbf{X} \cdot \gamma_j\|^2 U(g)^{-1} d\mu(g) \\ &= \int \|[R_g^T(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \gamma_a, R_g^T(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \gamma_j]\|^2 d\mu(g) \\ &= \int \|\mathbf{X} \cdot R_{gh}(\gamma_a), \mathbf{X} \cdot R_{gh}(\gamma_j)\|^2 d\mu(g) \\ &= \int \|\mathbf{X} \cdot R_g(\gamma_s), \mathbf{X} \cdot R_g(\gamma_t)\|^2 d\mu(g) = [\|[X_s, X_t]\|^2]_G. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$[V_D]_G = \sum_{\substack{a=8,9 \\ j=1,\dots,7}} [\|[X_a, X_j]\|^2]_G = \frac{14}{36} \sum_{s < t} [\|[X_s, X_t]\|^2]_G = \frac{7}{18} [V]_G = \frac{7}{18} V.$$

4.3 Fermionic part

The fermionic part of H_D , given in terms of Fock space operators $\lambda_{\alpha'A} := \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha'A} + i\boldsymbol{\theta}_{8+\alpha'A})$, $\alpha' = 1, \dots, 8$, is [2]

$$W_D = 2x_{jC}f_{CAB}(\delta_{\alpha'8}\delta_{\beta'j} - \delta_{\alpha'j}\delta_{\beta'8})\lambda_{\alpha'A}\lambda_{\beta'B}^\dagger.$$

With our choice of representation of the γ matrices (see Appendix A of [3]), we find

$$W_D = i \sum_{\rho=8,16} x_{jC}f_{CAB}\gamma_{\rho\beta}^j \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\rho A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} = ix_{jC}f_{CAB}[P\gamma^j]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B},$$

where P is a projection matrix s.t. $P_{8,8} = P_{16,16} = 1$ and zero otherwise. Furthermore, one can verify that P can be written as a product of three commuting projectors of the form $\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm E_\mu)$, $E_\mu^2 = 1$, in the Clifford algebra:

$$P = \frac{1}{8}(1 - \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3I_7)(1 - \gamma_2\gamma_5\gamma_7I_7)(1 - \gamma_3\gamma_6\gamma_7I_7) = \frac{1}{8}(1 - CI_7), \quad (3)$$

where $I_7 := \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4\gamma_5\gamma_6\gamma_7$, and

$$C := \gamma^{123} + \gamma^{165} + \gamma^{246} + \gamma^{435} + \gamma^{147} + \gamma^{367} + \gamma^{257}$$

defines an octonionic structure. By choosing different signs for E_μ in the three projectors one obtains all $8 = 2^3$ projection matrices of that form. Also note that γ_1 , γ_5 , and γ_6 share a particular property in the expression (3).

The action (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} [W_D]_G &= \int_G i(R_g^T(\mathbf{X}) \cdot \gamma_j)_C f_{CAB} [gP\gamma^j g^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{p=1}^8 \int_G i(\mathbf{X} \cdot R_{gh_p}(\gamma_j))_C f_{CAB} [gh_p P h_p^{-1} g^{-1} R_{gh_p}(\gamma^j)]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} d\mu(g), \end{aligned}$$

where we insert 8 different $h_p \in \text{Spin}(7)$ such that $R_{h_p}(\gamma_j) = \sigma_{p,j}\gamma_j \forall j$, and $\sigma_{p,j} \in \{+, -\}$ are signs chosen so that $\sum_p h_p P h_p^{-1} = 1$, e.g. according to the

following table:

p	$\sigma_{p,1}$	$\sigma_{p,2}$	$\sigma_{p,3}$	$\sigma_{p,4}$	$\sigma_{p,5}$	$\sigma_{p,6}$	$\sigma_{p,7}$
1	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
3	+	+	+	-	-	+	+
4	+	+	+	+	-	-	+
5	-	+	+	-	+	+	+
6	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
7	-	+	+	+	-	+	+
8	-	+	+	-	-	-	+

This is possible with $h_p \in \text{Spin}(7)$ (and not only $\text{Pin}(7)$) because γ_4 does not appear explicitly in (3) except in I_7 , which with the choice of signs above is invariant, i.e. $h_p I_7 h_p^{-1} = R_{h_p}(\gamma_1) R_{h_p}(\gamma_2) \dots R_{h_p}(\gamma_7) = I_7$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
[W_D]_G &= \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^7 \int_G i(\mathbf{X} \cdot R_g(\gamma_j))_C f_{CAB} \left[g \left(\sum_p \sigma_{p,j}^2 h_p P h_p^{-1} \right) g^{-1} R_g(\gamma^j) \right]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} d\mu(g) \\
&= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{j=1}^7 \int_G i(\mathbf{X} \cdot R_{gh'_j}(\gamma_j))_C f_{CAB} [R_{gh'_j}(\gamma^j)]_{\alpha\beta} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\alpha A} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\beta B} d\mu(g) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \frac{7}{9} [H_F]_G,
\end{aligned}$$

again using some appropriately chosen $h'_j \in \text{Spin}(9)$.

5 Result

In total, we have

$$[H_D]_G = [-\Delta_{89}]_G + [V_D]_G + [W_D]_G = -\frac{2}{9} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^{9(N^2-1)}} + \frac{7}{2 \cdot 9} V + \frac{7}{4 \cdot 9} H_F.$$

The relative coefficients of the terms of the resulting operator do not match those of H . In fact, $[H_D]_G$ has a discrete spectrum on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ (contrary to

H whose spectrum covers the whole positive axis [6]). This can be seen by rescaling the coordinates by $(\sqrt{7}/2)^{1/3}$, obtaining up to a constant

$$[H_D]_G \sim -\Delta + V + \kappa H_F = (1 - \kappa)(-\Delta + V) + \kappa H \geq (1 - \kappa)(-\Delta + V),$$

with $\kappa = \sqrt{7}/4 < 1$. The observation follows since H is a positive operator (by supersymmetry) and $-\Delta + V$ has a purely discrete spectrum [7].

On the other hand, we can of course define a rescaled operator

$$H'_D := -\frac{9}{2}\Delta_{89} + \frac{2 \cdot 9}{7}V_D + \frac{4 \cdot 9}{7}W_D$$

for which the average then is $[H'_D]_{\text{Spin}(9)} = H$. Unlike H_D which is positive due to supersymmetry, H'_D has energies tending to negative infinity in certain regions of the x' parameter space (note that its $\hbar$ -eigenstates are still given by (2), but with a rescaled frequency S). However, considering the action on $\text{Spin}(9) \times \text{SU}(N)$ invariant states $\Psi = U(g)\Psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi, H'_D \Psi \rangle &= \int \langle U(g^{-1})\Psi, H'_D U(g^{-1})\Psi \rangle d\mu(g) \\ &= \left\langle \Psi, \int U(g) H'_D U(g)^{-1} d\mu(g) \Psi \right\rangle = \langle \Psi, [H'_D] \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi, H \Psi \rangle = \|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha \Psi\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Hence, we conclude that these quadratic forms coincide on the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{inv}}$ of invariant states, so that H'_D and H are actually the same operator on that subspace². Furthermore, because a zero-energy state of H must be $\text{Spin}(9)$ invariant [8] it is therefore sufficient to check that it is annihilated by H'_D , i.e. that

$$(-7\Delta_{89} + 4\bar{z} \cdot S(x')z + 16W(x')\lambda\lambda^\dagger) \Psi(x', z) = 0 \quad \forall x'.$$

Also note that the same holds for any linear combination, $(\alpha H + \beta H'_D)\Psi = 0$.

²The reader who is worried about the unboundedness of the operators H and H'_D may consider the dense subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\text{inv}} \cap C_0^\infty$, where (4) makes perfect sense, and then conclude that the Friedrichs extensions of H'_D and H on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{inv}}$ are equal.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank V. Bach, M. Björklund and J.-B. Zuber for discussions, as well as the Swedish Research Council and the Marie Curie Training Network ENIGMA (contract MRNT-CT-2004-5652) for financial support.

References

- [1] W. Taylor, *M(atrix) Theory: Matrix Quantum Mechanics as a Fundamental Theory*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2001) 419-462.
- [2] J. Hoppe, *On the construction of zero energy states in supersymmetric matrix models III*, [arXiv:hep-th/9711033](#).
- [3] J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm, M. Trzetrzelewski, *Octonionic twists for supermembrane matrix models*, [arXiv:0803.1316](#).
- [4] J. Hoppe, D. Lundholm, M. Trzetrzelewski, *Spin(9) Average of SU(N) Matrix Models II. Eigenstates*, in preparation.
- [5] T. Thiemann, *Modern canonical quantum general relativity*, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [6] B. de Wit, W. Lüscher, H. Nicolai, *The supermembrane is unstable*, Nucl. Phys. B320 (1989) 135-159,
A. Smilga, *Super Yang Mills quantum mechanics and supermembrane spectrum*, *Proc. 1989 Trieste Conf.* ed M. Duff, C. Pope and E. Sezgin (Singapore: World Scientific).
- [7] B. Simon, *Some Quantum Mechanical Operators with Discrete Spectrum but Classically Continuous Spectrum*, Ann. Phys. 146 (1983) 209-220,
M. Lüscher, *Some analytic results concerning the mass spectrum of Yang-Mills gauge theories on a torus*, Nucl. Phys. B219 (1983) 233-261.
- [8] D. Hasler, J. Hoppe, *Zero Energy States of Reduced Super Yang-Mills Theories in $d + 1 = 4, 6$ and 10 dimensions are necessarily Spin(d) invariant*, [arXiv:hep-th/0211226](#).